



GT NanoMécanique – 4/12/2019 Grenoble

LABORATOIRE DE MÉCANIQUE ET GÉNIE CIVIL - UM/CNRS

#### (Rappel de) Mécanique du contact et (petite) analyse critique des modèles utilisés en AFM (ou pas)

# **Olivier ARNOULD**

#### EQUIPE BOIS

Laboratoire de Mécanique et Génie Civil (LMGC)

CNRS/Université de Montpellier

olivier.arnould@umontpellier.fr





### Sommaire

#### Bone micromechanics using in situ AFM in SEM



- Théorie de Hertz
- Adhésion (DMT-JKR)
- Autres cas et comparatif
- Anisotropie et viscosité
- Plasticité > NanoIndentation

[Jimenez-Palomar et al., J. Mech. Beh. Bio. Mat., 2011]

### Reminder







#### Aims at finding the relationship between:

- The load F and the relative displacement  $\delta$  of the two bodies (far away from the contact)
- ➡ the load F and the shape and size a of the contact area
- ➡ the load *F* and the stress fields in each bodies

- Hertz's theory (1882 Ger.  $\rightarrow$  1896 Eng.)
  - Elastic deformation of two glass lenses in contact

![](_page_4_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Assumptions:
  - Surfaces are continuous and **non-conforming** (i.e.,  $R_1 \neq -R_2$ )  $\rightarrow a \ll R$
  - Strains are small: a << R</li>
  - Linear elastic isotropic and homogeneous materials
  - Friction is neglected
- Each solid is considered as an elastic half-space (plane) loaded over a small elliptical region of its plane surface

[K.L. Johnson, Contact Mechanics, Cambridge University Press, 2001]

• Hertz's theory (1882)

Each solid is locally described as paraboloid of revolution, for a sphere:

$$z_2 = \frac{1}{2R_2} \left( x^2 + y^2 \right)$$

Distance separation between the two solids

$$\delta_1 \qquad u_{z_1} \qquad \zeta_2 \qquad \qquad \zeta_2 \qquad \zeta_2$$

ΔZ

$$h = z_1 + z_2 = \frac{1}{2R} (x^2 + y^2)$$
 with  $\frac{1}{R} = \frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2}$ 

Under load

 $h - (\delta_1 + \delta_2) + (u_{z_1} + u_{z_2}) = 0 \text{ in the contact area}$ > 0 outside ( $\underline{\sigma} \cdot \underline{z} = \underline{0}$ )

What's the pressure distribution at the contact surface?

[K.L. Johnson, Contact Mechanics, Cambridge University Press, 2001]

- Hertz's theory (1882)
  - Concentrated normal force (Green's function method):

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $\rightarrow$  linear elasticity  $\rightarrow$  superposition for a distributed normal load f(x,y)!

$$u_{z}(x,y) = \frac{1-\nu^{2}}{E} \iint_{S} \frac{dF(x',y')}{\pi \sqrt{(x-x')^{2} + (y-y')^{2}}}$$
  
and  $\frac{1}{2R}(x^{2}+y^{2}) - (\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}) + (u_{z_{1}}(x,y) + u_{z_{2}}(x,y)) = 0$ 

![](_page_6_Figure_6.jpeg)

⇒ Hertz's pressure distribution:  $p(r) = p_0 \sqrt{1 - (r/a)^2}$ 

[K.L. Johnson, Contact Mechanics, Cambridge University Press, 2001]

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Hertz's theory (1882)
  - Second Secon

Material and its Young's modulus	Contact area	radius <i>a</i> , nm	Penetration due to de	formation <b>h</b> , nm	Contact press	sure <b>P</b> , GPa	
Elastomer, <b><i>E</i> = 0.65 GPa</b>	3.74	8.04	1.04	6.46	0.11	0.25	
PS, <b>E = 1GPa</b>	3.24	6.98	1.05	4.87	0.15	0.33	
Copper, <b>E = 120GPa</b>	0.79	1.7	0.062	0.29	2.55	5.51	
Tungsten, <b>E = 400 GPa</b>	0.68	1.46	0.046	0.21	3.44	7.47	
Diamond, <b>E = 1000 GPa</b>	0.64	1.38	0.041	0.19	3.88	8.36	
at loading force 🗜 , nN							
	5	50	5	50	5	50	

### Sommaire

#### Bone micromechanics using in situ AFM in SEM

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Théorie de Hertz
- Adhésion (DMT-JKR)
- Autres cas et comparatif
- Anisotropie et viscosité
- Plasticité > NanoIndentation

[Jimenez-Palomar et al., J. Mech. Beh. Bio. Mat., 2011]

- Adhesion (~contact at the nano-scale: capillarity, van der Waals, etc.)
  - Derjaguin, Muller and Toporov (DMT) model [J. Coll. Int. Sci., 1975]
    - For stiff material (glassy polymers, crystal), small radius, low adhesive and long range interaction forces outside the contact area
    - Simple "translation" in load of Hertz's equations

$$F \rightarrow F + F_{adh}$$
 with  $F_{adh} = 2\pi R w_{adh}$ 

and Dupré's work of adhesion  $W_{adh} = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - \gamma_{12}$ 

![](_page_10_Figure_7.jpeg)

- At pull-off  $\delta = 0$  and a = 0
- Applicable in the case of capillarity forces with  $W_{adh} \approx 2\gamma_{LV}$

- Adhesion (~contact at the nano-scale: capillarity, van der Waals, etc.)
  - Johnson, Kendall and Roberts (JKR) model [Proc. R. Soc. London A, 1971]
    - For soft sample (elastomers), large radius, strong adhesive and short range interaction forces inside the contact area

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Hertz's theory is no longer valid: no direct relation between F and  $\delta$ 

$$F = \frac{4}{3}E^*\frac{a^3}{R} - \sqrt{8\pi E^* w_{adh}a^3} \qquad \delta = \frac{a^2}{R} - \sqrt{\frac{2\pi w_{adh}a}{E^*}}$$
  
At pull-off  $a \neq 0$  and  $F = -F_{adh} = -\frac{3}{2}\pi R w_{adh}$ 

#### • Adhesion (~contact at the nano-scale: capillarity, van der Waals, etc.)

Intermediate cases: Maugis-Dugdale
[J. Colloid Int. Sci., 1992; E. Barthel, J. Phys. D, 2008]

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Adhesion (~contact at the nano-scale: capillarity, van der Waals, etc.)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Sommaire

#### Bone micromechanics using in situ AFM in SEM

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Théorie de Hertz
- Adhésion (DMT-JKR)
- Autres cas et comparatif
- Anisotropie et viscosité
- Plasticité > NanoIndentation

[Jimenez-Palomar et al., J. Mech. Beh. Bio. Mat., 2011]

# Tip shapes 100 nm (b) pA HFW 69.0 25.3 μm Tilt 45.0° 08/11/05 16:42:06 5 µm (c)

[Kopycinska-Müller et al, Ultramicroscopy, 2006; Nanotechnology, 2016]

16

[www.nanoandmore.com]

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Different cases implemented in AtomicJ

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Suppl. Mat. [Hermanowicz et al, Rev. Sci. Inst., 2014]

19

![](_page_19_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

-20

-40

#### • Examples of tip shape Bruker RTESPA 525(-30)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

Geometry:	Rotated (Symmetric)
Tip Height (h):	10 - 15µm
Front Angle (FA):	15 ± 2°
Back Angle (BA):	25 ± 2 °
Side Angle (SA):	17.5 ± 2 °
Tip Radius (Nom):	30 nm
Tip Radius (Max):	36 nm

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Sommaire

#### Bone micromechanics using in situ AFM in SEM

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Théorie de Hertz
- Adhésion (DMT-JKR)
- Autres cas et comparatif
- Anisotropie et viscosité
- Plasticité > NanoIndentation

[Jimenez-Palomar et al., J. Mech. Beh. Bio. Mat., 2011]

#### Anisotropy

For a typical S2-layer with MFA~0° [Jäger et al, 2011]

 $M_{\rm L} \approx 19$  GPa whereas  $E_{\rm L} \approx 45$  GPa

$$(E_{t} \approx E_{r} \approx 12 \text{ GPa}, v_{tL} \approx v_{rL} \approx 0.028, v_{rt} \approx 0.28 G_{tL} \approx G_{rL} \approx 2.5 \text{ GPa}, G_{rt} \approx 2 \text{ GPa} )$$

Kevlar fibre: M// ≈ 15-20 / E// ≈ 80 GPa [Arnould et al, 2017]

![](_page_24_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Viscoelasticity

Loading-unloading curve of a pure viscoelastic material [Cheng and Cheng, Mat. Sci. Eng. R, 2004]

![](_page_25_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Sommaire

#### Bone micromechanics using in situ AFM in SEM

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Théorie de Hertz
- Adhésion (DMT-JKR)
- Autres cas et comparatif
- Anisotropie et viscosité
- Plasticité > NanoIndentation

[Jimenez-Palomar et al., J. Mech. Beh. Bio. Mat., 2011]

#### Plasticity : NanoIndentation

The depth of penetration  $h(\delta!)$  is measured during load application mainly with a Berkovich indenter (3-sided pyramid)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

[www.brukerafmprobes.com]

• Plasticity : NanoIndentation

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### • Plasticity : NanoIndentation

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Conclusions – Questions ouvertes**

- Respecter/vérifier les domaines de validité des modèles de contact suivant les conditions expérimentales (profondeur indentation, adhesion, rayon de pointe, rigidité du matériau testé, ...)
- Choix, et mesure de la forme, de la pointe + dimension optimale de la pointe / rugosité ou hétérogénéité de la surface (+ tenue à l'usure)
- Effet de la topographie et de la profondeur de mesure par rapport aux effets de surface (liés à la préparation des échantillons + comportement matériaux)
- Calibration des échantillons de référence en terme d'échelle, de fréquence (TTSP ?) et de mode de sollicitation ? Idem pour le matériau mesuré...
- Attention au choix de la raideur (et facteur de qualité) du levier / matériau à tester
- Attention au comportement anisotrope ( $E \neq M$ ) surtout aux échelles nano
- Indentation non normale... raideur tangentiel en anisotrope ? Comment la mesurer ?
- Utilisation de la courbe d'approche ou de retrait ou ... ? Et effet de la viscosité ?
- Problème de la vitesse de sollicitation / comportement viscoélastique